

Practice Exam 2 solutions

- 1) let P, Q be statements. prove the following are always true (a) $[P \wedge (P \Rightarrow Q)] \Rightarrow Q$
 (b) $(P \Rightarrow (Q \wedge \neg Q)) \Rightarrow \neg P$

pf: we write down the truth tables and use the facts, $T(P \Rightarrow Q) = 1 - T(P) + T(P)T(Q)$, $T(\neg P) = 1 - T(P)$
 $T(P \wedge Q) = T(P)T(Q)$, $T(P \vee Q) = T(P) + T(Q) - T(P)T(Q)$

| P | Q | $P \Rightarrow Q$ | $P \wedge (P \Rightarrow Q)$ | Q | $(Q \wedge \neg Q)$ | $P \Rightarrow (Q \wedge \neg Q)$ | (b) |
|-----|-----|-------------------|------------------------------|-----|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

□

- 2.) let P, Q be statements. Prove P, Q are prop. equiv. iff $P \Leftrightarrow Q$.

pf:
 (\Rightarrow) since P, Q prop. equiv. then $T(P) = T(Q)$. Consider $T(P \Leftrightarrow Q) = T(P \Rightarrow Q)T(Q \Rightarrow P)$
 $= [1 - T(P) + T(P)T(Q)] [1 - T(Q) + T(Q)T(P)] = [1 - T(P) + T(P)^2]^2$ but if $T(P) = 1$ then $T(P \Leftrightarrow Q) = 1$
 if $T(P) = 0 \Rightarrow T(P \Leftrightarrow Q) = 1$ either way $T(P \Leftrightarrow Q)$ is always true.

(\Leftarrow) now suppose $T(P \Leftrightarrow Q)$ is always true ie $T(P \Leftrightarrow Q) = 1 \Rightarrow T(P \Rightarrow Q) = 1$ and $T(Q \Rightarrow P) = 1$
 or $\begin{cases} 1 - T(P) + T(P)T(Q) = 1 \\ 1 - T(Q) + T(Q)T(P) = 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} T(P) = T(P)T(Q) \\ T(Q) = T(Q)T(P) \end{cases}$ if $T(P) = 0 \Rightarrow T(Q) = 0$ if $T(P) = 1 \Rightarrow T(Q) = 1$
 so $T(P) = T(Q)$ □

- 3.) Prove $\sqrt{10}$ is irrational.

pf: s'pose $\sqrt{10}$ ratⁿ. Then $\exists p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0$ s.t. p, q have no common factors and $\sqrt{10} = \frac{p}{q}$
 $\Rightarrow 10 = \frac{p^2}{q^2} \Rightarrow p^2 = 10q^2$ consider mod by 10 $\Rightarrow p^2 \text{ mod } 10 = 0 \Rightarrow (p \text{ mod } 10)^2 = 0$
 since mod arithmetic preserves operations. $\Rightarrow p \text{ mod } 10 = 0 \Rightarrow 10 | p \Rightarrow p = 10t$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $\Rightarrow 10^2 t^2 = 10q^2 \Rightarrow q^2 = 10t^2$ so a similar argument shows $10 | q \Rightarrow p, q$ have common factor.
 contradiction $\Rightarrow \sqrt{10}$ is irrational. □

- 4.) Prove \exists irrational #'s x, y s.t. x^y is rational.

pf: consider $\sqrt{2}$, we know $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational. then consider $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ if $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ is rational done
 take $x = y = \sqrt{2}$. If $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ is irrational take $x = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$, $y = \sqrt{2}$ then
 $x^y = (\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}})^{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^2 = 2$ which is rational. □

5.) Prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (x+y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^{n-k} y^k$.

pf.
 we prove via induction on n . 1st base case: $n=0$ so LHS = $(x+y)^0 = 1$ & RHS = $\sum_{k=0}^0 \binom{0}{k} x^{0-k} y^k$
 but $\sum_{k=0}^0$ forces $k=0$ so RHS = $\binom{0}{0} x^0 y^0 = 1$. next we do the inductive step. so suppose true for n and we show for $n+1$.

$$\text{Then } (x+y)^{n+1} = (x+y)(x+y)^n = (x+y) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^{n-k} y^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^{n+1-k} y^k + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^{n-k} y^{k+1}$$

via the assumption. then we reindex the 2nd sum, $j=k+1$ and just replace j w/ k in 1st sum

$$\Rightarrow (x+y)^{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} x^{n+1-j} y^j + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \binom{n}{j-1} x^{n-(j-1)} y^j = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} x^{n+1-j} y^j + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \binom{n}{j-1} x^{n+1-j} y^j$$

$$= \binom{n}{0} x^{n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} x^{n+1-j} y^j + \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j-1} x^{n+1-j} y^j + \binom{n}{n} y^{n+1} = \binom{n}{0} x^{n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n+1}{j} x^{n+1-j} y^j + \binom{n}{n} y^{n+1}$$

via def of the binomial coefficients. but $\binom{n}{0} = \binom{n}{n} = 1 = \binom{n+1}{0} = \binom{n+1}{n+1}$ so
 $(x+y)^{n+1} = \binom{n+1}{0} x^{n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n+1}{j} x^{n+1-j} y^j + \binom{n+1}{n+1} y^{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{j} x^{n+1-j} y^j$ which shows $n+1$ case
 so via the induction hypothesis it's true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

6.) let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Prove $\sum_{k=0}^n k^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$

pf.
 we prove via induction on n . 1st is the base case: $n=0$. LHS = $\sum_{k=0}^0 k^2 = 0^2 = 0$
 & RHS = $\frac{0(0+1)(0+1)}{6} = 0$ so LHS = RHS. next the inductive step. suppose true for n
 and we demonstrate $n+1$. then $\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k^2 = (n+1)^2 + \sum_{k=0}^n k^2 = (n+1)^2 + \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$ via assumption
 then $\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k^2 = \frac{6(n+1)^2 + n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} = \frac{n+1}{6} (6(n+1) + n(2n+1)) = \frac{n+1}{6} (6n+6 + 2n^2+n) = \frac{n+1}{6} (2n^2+7n+6)$
 $= \frac{n+1}{6} (n+2)(2n+3) = \frac{(n+1)(n+3+1)(2(n+3)+1)}{6}$ so true for $n+1$ case. Thus via the
 induction hypothesis, true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

7.) let f, g be fncs on $I \setminus \{a\}$ w/ $a \in I$, s.t. $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = M$. Prove

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} (f(x)g(x)) = LM.$$

pf.
 $\forall \epsilon > 0$, let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ s.t. if $|x-a| < \delta_1$ then $|f(x)-L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$, and if $|x-a| < \delta_2$
 then $|g(x)-M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2(|L|+1)}$ then if $|x-a| < \delta$ cons. $|f(x)g(x) - LM|$
 $= |f(x)g(x) - f(x)M + f(x)M - LM| = |f(x)(g(x)-M) + M(f(x)-L)| \leq |f(x)||g(x)-M| + M|f(x)-L|$
 via the triangle \leq , since $f(x)$ has limit L at $x=a$ then $|f(x)| \leq |L|+1$ so
 $|f(x)g(x) - LM| \leq (|L|+1)|g(x)-M| + M|f(x)-L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \quad \square$

8.) Let f, g be fncs on $I \setminus \{a\}$ w/ $a \in I$ s.t. $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = M$. Prove $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} (f(x) + g(x)) = L + M$.

pf
 $\forall \epsilon > 0$, let $\delta = \min \{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ s.t. if $|x-a| < \delta$, then $|f(x)-L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and if $|x-a| < \delta$, then $|g(x)-M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ so if $|x-a| < \delta$ then $|f(x)+g(x) - (L+M)|$
 $= |(f(x)-L) + (g(x)-M)| \leq |f(x)-L| + |g(x)-M|$ via the triangle \leq , but $|f(x)-L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $|g(x)-M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ so $|f(x)+g(x) - (L+M)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$ \square .

9.) Define $H(x) = \begin{cases} 5 & x < 0 \\ 0 & x \geq 0 \end{cases}$. Prove $H(x)$ doesn't have a limit at $x=0$.

pf
 Suppose $H(x)$ has a limit L at $x=0$. Then $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|x| < \delta \Rightarrow |H(x) - L| < \epsilon$
 Moreover if $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} H(x) = L$ then $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} H(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} H(x) = L$. but (i) implies
 if $x > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ then $|H(x) - 0| < \frac{1}{2}$ so the triangle $\leq \Rightarrow |L| < \frac{1}{2}$ here we picked $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$
 similarly (ii) if $x < 0$ and $\delta > 0$ then $|H(x) - 5| < \frac{1}{2}$ and triangle $\leq \Rightarrow |5-L| < \frac{1}{2}$
 so using the triangle \leq one more time $\Rightarrow |5| = |5-L+L| \leq |5-L| + |L| < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$
 or $|5| < 1$ which is nonsense. contradiction $\Rightarrow H(x)$ has no limit at $x=0$. \square